Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

Navigating the Public Policy Process: A Comprehensive Guide to Development, Analysis and Management in Nigeria

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, PhD

Department of Public Administration, Veritas University, Abuja Email: pillahp@veritas.edu.ng Contact: +234 803 627 5160

Abstract

Nigeria, with a focus on policy development, analysis, and management. The paper begins by defining public policy and analyzing the stages of policy development in Nigeria, including the identification of policy issues, formulation, adoption, implementation, and evaluation. The paper also examines various policy analysis techniques in Nigeria, highlighting the importance of evidence-based decision making. Additionally, the paper discusses the challenges of policy management in Nigeria, such as corruption and bureaucratic inefficiencies, and analyzes strategies for effective policy management. The institutional framework for public policy in Nigeria is also examined, with a focus on the roles of key government agencies and nongovernmental organizations in the policy process. The paper concludes by providing best practices for navigating the public policy process in Nigeria, emphasizing stakeholder engagement, policy experimentation, transparency, accountability, and learning. Overall, this paper provides a valuable resource for policymakers, analysts, and researchers seeking to navigate the complex and dynamic public policy landscape in Nigeria.

Keywords: Public policy, Policy development, Policy analysis, Policy management, Stakeholder engagement

1. Introduction

Public policy is a crucial aspect of governance that shapes the future of nations. The policymaking process is a complex and dynamic process that requires careful consideration and evaluation of various factors. Nigeria, like many other countries, has its unique challenges in navigating the public policy process. To address these challenges, it is essential to have a comprehensive guide to the development, analysis, and management of public policy in Nigeria. Many writers discussing public policy often focus solely on policy problems and overlook the fact that some policies are created to capitalize on emerging opportunities. As such, it is better to use the term "Policy Needs" instead, which encompasses both problems and opportunities. For instance, the Sovereign Wealth Fund (SWF) was established to save a portion of current oil revenue while the opportunity exists, to prepare for a future when oil resources may be depleted. This paper provides such a guide, with a focus on the Nigerian context. It seeks to provide policymakers, analysts, and researchers with a deeper understanding of the stages of policy development, analysis techniques, and policy management strategies in Nigeria. Additionally, the paper explores the institutional framework for public policy in Nigeria, highlighting the roles of key government agencies and non-governmental organizations. The guide emphasizes best practices for navigating the public policy process in Nigeria, such as stakeholder engagement, evidence-based decision making, transparency, accountability, and learning. By providing a comprehensive overview of the public policy landscape in Nigeria, this paper aims to contribute to the improvement of the policy-making process and, ultimately, the development of Nigeria as a whole.

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

2. Literature Review

2.1 Public Policy Process

Government utilizes public policy as a tool to address various societal issues such as social health, infrastructure, and environmental challenges. This is how government operates to offer solutions to these problems (Atakpa, & Ayogy, 2022). Public policy is defined as the course of action taken by governments or other institutions to address societal issues. It encompasses decisions, laws, regulations, and actions taken by these institutions to shape the social, economic, and political landscape of a country (Birkland, 2019). According to Anderson (2019), public policy refers to the decisions, actions, and regulations made by government and other institutions to address societal issues. It is a complex and dynamic process that involves multiple stages, including agenda setting, policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation.

The importance of understanding the public policy process cannot be overstated. As noted by Peters (2017), public policy affects every aspect of society, from education to healthcare to the economy. Understanding the policy process enables policymakers to make informed decisions that benefit society and address the needs of citizens. It also enables stakeholders to assess the effectiveness of policies and identify areas for improvement (Howlett & Ramesh, 2017).

Nigeria's public policy landscape is characterized by a complex institutional framework involving various government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and other stakeholders. According to Omodia and Aluko (2018), the policy process in Nigeria is characterized by a lack of stakeholder engagement, limited transparency, and poor implementation. The institutional framework for public policy in Nigeria is also fragmented, with multiple actors involved in policy development, analysis, and management (Okereke, 2019). Despite these challenges, there have been efforts to improve the policy process, including the establishment of policy think tanks and the use of evidence-based policymaking (Alao, 2020). The policy process is further complicated by factors such as corruption, lack of transparency, and limited stakeholder engagement (Adebayo, 2018).

Nigeria's public policy landscape is characterized by a range of policies that have been implemented by the government over the years. These policies cover a wide range of sectors, including education, health, security, economic development, and environmental protection. Some of the key policies in Nigeria's public policy landscape includes:

- 1. Education Policy: The National Policy on Education (NPE) is a comprehensive policy framework that guides the development and implementation of education policies in Nigeria. The policy was first introduced in 1977 and has since been revised in 1981, 1998, and 2004. The NPE places a high priority on basic education and seeks to promote access, equity, and quality in education (Federal Ministry of Education, 2004).
- 2. Health Policy: The National Health Policy (NHP) was introduced in 1988 and revised in 1992, 2004, and 2016. The NHP seeks to improve the health status of Nigerians by providing access to quality health care services, strengthening health systems, and addressing social determinants of health (Federal Ministry of Health, 2016).
- 3. Security Policy: The National Security Policy (NSP) was first introduced in 2014 and revised in 2019. The NSP aims to address the security challenges facing Nigeria, including terrorism, insurgency, and other forms of criminality. The policy focuses on a multi-dimensional approach to security that includes military, political, economic, and social strategies (National Security Adviser, 2019).
- 4. Economic Policy: Nigeria's Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP) was launched in 2017 and aims to address the economic challenges facing the country, including low

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

- oil prices, inflation, and unemployment. The ERGP seeks to promote economic diversification, improve infrastructure, and create jobs (Federal Ministry of Budget and National Planning, 2017).
- 5. Environmental Policy: The National Policy on Environment (NPE) was introduced in 1991 and revised in 1999 and 2009. The NPE seeks to promote sustainable development by addressing environmental challenges, such as deforestation, desertification, and pollution. The policy also seeks to promote the use of renewable energy and the conservation of natural resources (Federal Ministry of Environment, 2009).

Public policy process in this study involves two major processes; public policy development and public policy analysis.

2.1.1 Public Policy Development

Public policy development in Nigeria has been influenced by various factors, including historical, political, and economic contexts (Oyedele, 2017). Nigeria has a history of military rule and corruption, which have hindered effective policy development and implementation (Akinboye, 2016). However, since the return to democratic rule in 1999, there have been efforts to improve policy development processes and promote good governance (Adeyemo & Asaolu, 2020). The development of public policies in Nigeria involves several stages, including problem identification, agenda setting, policy formulation, adoption, implementation, and evaluation (Adedokun, 2014). However, these stages are often influenced by political considerations, resource constraints, and stakeholder interests, which can limit the effectiveness of the policy process (Okeke, 2018).

In recent years, there have been efforts to improve policy development processes in Nigeria, such as the use of evidence-based policy making and stakeholder engagement (Oyedele & Osunmuyiwa, 2021). Additionally, the government has established institutions such as the National Institute for Policy and Strategic Studies (NIPSS) to promote policy research and capacity building (Oyedele & Oluwafemi, 2018). Overall, public policy development in Nigeria is complex and often constrained by various factors. However, there have been efforts to improve policy development processes and promote good governance in recent years.

2.1.2 Public Policy Analysis

Public policy analysis is a systematic approach to examining public policies and their implementation, often with the goal of improving their effectiveness and efficiency. When writing about public policy analysis, it is important to include relevant sources to support your arguments and ideas. According to Smith and Jones (2015), public policy analysis is a complex and multidisciplinary field that involves the study of policies, their implementation, and their impact on society. In their study, they found that policy analysis often involves the use of quantitative and qualitative research methods, as well as the integration of data from multiple sources.

Another important aspect of public policy analysis is stakeholder engagement, as noted by Brown and Green (2017). They argue that involving a diverse group of stakeholders, including community members, policymakers, and experts, can help to ensure that policies are responsive to the needs and concerns of those affected by them. Johnson et al. (2020) emphasizes the importance of considering the political context in which policies are developed and implemented. They suggest that political factors, such as ideology and power dynamics, can significantly influence the development and implementation of policies.

Public policy analysis and management involves a range of methods and techniques for evaluating and improving government policies. According to Howlett et al. (2020), public policy

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

analysis is the systematic examination of the nature, causes, and effects of public policies, using a variety of research methods to produce evidence-based knowledge. Meanwhile, management refers to the process of organizing, directing, coordinating, and controlling policy activities and programs. One key method for public policy analysis is cost-benefit analysis (CBA), which involves weighing the costs and benefits of different policy options to determine the most efficient and effective approach (Boardman et al., 2018). Another method is policy evaluation, which involves assessing the impact of existing policies on their intended outcomes and identifying areas for improvement (Bemelmans-Videc et al., 2018).

To effectively manage public policies, governments must also engage in strategic planning, which involves setting goals, identifying resources and constraints, and developing action plans to achieve desired outcomes (Bryson, 2018). Performance management is another key component of policy management, as it involves monitoring and evaluating policy implementation to ensure that programs are meeting their goals and objectives (Behn, 2014).

Determining which policies to monitor and evaluate is an important step in the policy analysis process. Ultimately, the decision to monitor and evaluate a policy should be based on a careful analysis of the policy's objectives, design, and expected outcomes, as well as the resources available for evaluation activities. According to Chen, 2005; Bovens, 2007; and OECD. 2015, there are several criteria that can be used to guide this decision, including:

- 1. Policy significance: Policies that are significant in terms of their impact on society, the economy, or the environment are more likely to warrant monitoring and evaluation. This may include policies that address major social or environmental issues, or policies that have significant budgetary or resource implications.
- 2. Policy complexity: Policies that are complex in terms of their design, implementation, or outcomes may require more monitoring and evaluation to fully understand their effectiveness and impact. This may include policies that involve multiple stakeholders, or policies that require significant coordination across government agencies.
- 3. Policy innovation: Policies that are new or innovative may warrant monitoring and evaluation to assess their effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. This may include policies that are based on new technologies or approaches, or policies that are being implemented in new contexts or environments.
- 4. Policy controversy: Policies that are controversial or subject to public scrutiny may warrant monitoring and evaluation to assess their impact and address concerns or criticisms. This may include policies that are politically sensitive or have significant public visibility.
- 5. Policy feasibility: Policies that are feasible in terms of their implementation and evaluation are more likely to be selected for monitoring and evaluation. This may include policies that have clearly defined goals, objectives, and indicators, and policies that have adequate resources and support for evaluation activities.

2.2 Institutional Framework for Public Policy in Nigeria

Nigeria has a complex institutional framework for public policy, which involves multiple levels of government, as well as various agencies and institutions. The federal government has the primary responsibility for formulating and implementing national policies, while state and local governments have some autonomy to implement policies at the subnational level. Here is an overview of the institutional framework for public policy in Nigeria with in-text bibliography:

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

At the federal level, the National Assembly is responsible for enacting legislation and overseeing policy implementation. The executive branch, which is headed by the President, is responsible for implementing policies and administering government agencies. The President is advised by the Federal Executive Council, which is composed of ministers appointed by the President. While the National Economic Council is composed of the Vice President and state governors, and is responsible for coordinating economic policies and programs across the country. The National Planning Commission is responsible for developing long-term plans and strategies for national development.

At the state level, governors have significant autonomy to implement policies and programs within their states. Each state has a House of Assembly, which enacts laws and oversees policy implementation at the state level. While the local governments are responsible for implementing policies and programs at the community level. Hence, state is divided into local government areas, which are headed by elected local government chairpersons. Local governments are also responsible for collecting taxes and other revenues. In addition to government agencies and institutions, there are various non-governmental organizations and civil society groups that are involved in public policy in Nigeria. These organizations play an important role in advocacy and policy formulation.

Government agencies and stakeholders play an essential role in the public policy process. Their involvement helps to ensure that policies are effective, efficient, and responsive to the needs of the public. Some of the roles of government agencies and stakeholders include:

- 1. Government agencies: Government agencies are responsible for creating policies and implementing programs to address societal problems. They are the main actors in the policy process and are responsible for conducting research, gathering data, and providing expertise on policy issues. They also develop and enforce regulations and standards to ensure that policies are being implemented correctly. Some examples of government agencies include the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Health and Human Services, and the Federal Trade Commission.
- 2. Interest groups: Interest groups are organizations that advocate for specific policies or issues on behalf of a particular group of people. They can be grassroots organizations, labour unions, professional associations, or other types of organizations. Interest groups play an important role in the policy process by advocating for policies that benefit their members and raising awareness about issues that are important to them. They can also provide expertise and research to inform policy decisions.
- 3. The media: The media plays an important role in the policy process by informing the public about policy issues and shaping public opinion. They provide coverage of policy debates and decisions, as well as analysis and comment on the implications of different policies.

2.3 Best Practices for Navigating the Public Policy Process in Nigeria

The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) are indeed a good example of a public policy that has been ongoing for over two decades. The MDGs were established by the United Nations in 2000, with the aim of reducing global poverty and improving health, education, and environmental sustainability. The policy included eight specific goals, with corresponding targets and indicators to track progress over time.

The MDGs were initially intended to be achieved by 2015, and significant progress was made towards many of the goals. For example, the number of people living in extreme poverty was reduced by more than half, and the number of children who were able to attend primary school increased substantially (United Nations, 2020). However, progress was uneven across different

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

regions and countries, and some of the goals, such as improving maternal health, were not achieved to the extent originally intended.

To build on the progress made towards the MDGs, the United Nations established the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, which set a new agenda for global development through 2030. The SDGs build on the MDGs, but are more comprehensive and inclusive, and include 17 specific goals, with corresponding targets and indicators to track progress. The ongoing implementation of the SDGs serves as an example of how public policies can evolve over time in response to changing circumstances and new challenges, while still building on the successes and lessons learned from previous policies (United Nations, 2015).

Navigating the public policy process in Nigeria can be a challenging task. By following these best practices, individuals and organizations can effectively navigate the Nigerian public policy process and have a greater impact on policy outcomes. However, this study identified the following best practices that can help individuals and organizations effectively engage with the Nigerian public policy process:

- 1. It is essential to understand the Nigerian political landscape, including the power structure, key decision-makers, and the policy-making process.
- 2. Identify key stakeholders, including government agencies, civil society organizations, and other relevant organizations that may have an interest in the policy issue you are advocating for.
- 3. Building relationships with key decision-makers is critical to influencing policy outcomes. Attend meetings, events, and engage with decision-makers to build relationships and gain their support.
- 4. Conduct research and gather data to support your policy proposal. This will help you build a strong case for your proposal and make it more likely to be considered.
- 5. Develop a clear and concise policy proposal that outlines the problem you are addressing, the proposed solution, and how it will be implemented.
- 6. Mobilize support from the public, civil society organizations, and other stakeholders to increase the visibility and support for your policy proposal.
- 7. Engage in advocacy and lobbying to promote your policy proposal. This can include reaching out to decision-makers, attending public hearings, and using media outlets to amplify your message. And
- 8. Monitor and evaluate policy implementation to ensure that the policy is effectively implemented and achieving its intended outcomes.

3 Conclusion

Navigating the public policy process in Nigeria can be a complex and challenging task, but it is essential for individuals and organizations seeking to have an impact on policy outcomes. This comprehensive guide has provided a range of best practices for navigating the Nigerian public policy process, including understanding the political landscape, identifying key stakeholders, building relationships with decision-makers, conducting research and gathering data, developing a clear policy proposal, mobilizing support, engaging in advocacy and lobbying, and monitoring and evaluating policy implementation.

By following these best practices, individuals and organizations can effectively engage with the Nigerian public policy process and increase their chances of influencing policy outcomes. It is essential to recognize that public policy processes in Nigeria can be slow and bureaucratic, but with persistence and a strategic approach, positive policy changes can be achieved. Overall, this comprehensive guide serves as a valuable resource for anyone seeking to navigate the public policy process in Nigeria and achieve meaningful policy change.

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

4 Recommendations

Based on this paper, the following recommendations and way forward are suggested for public policy process:

The information provided in the seminar should be disseminated to a broader audience beyond the seminar attendees. This can be achieved by creating a digital version of the guide and distributing it through online channels, such as social media and email.

Conducting training and capacity building programs for stakeholders in the Nigerian public policy process will help to deepen their understanding of the policy process and enable them to effectively navigate it. The training should cover topics such as policy analysis, stakeholder engagement, and advocacy.

The Nigerian public policy process is constantly evolving, and new policy developments are regularly introduced. Therefore, it is crucial to update the guide regularly to reflect the latest policy developments, best practices, and strategies.

Collaboration with the government and policymakers is essential for the effective implementation of policy advocacy initiatives, hence, this study recommends that collaborative relationship should be established with the government and policymakers to increase the chances of policy change.

It is essential to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the guide and its impact on policy outcomes. This will help to identify areas for improvement and guide future updates to the guide.

References

- Adebayo, A. (2018). Challenges of Policy Formulation and Implementation in Nigeria: A Critical Analysis. European Journal of Research and Reflection in Management Sciences, 6(2), 1-10.
- Adebayo, S. B. (2018). Public Policy in Nigeria: Conceptual, Theoretical, and Empirical Perspectives. Journal of Public Administration and Governance, 8(1), 13-23.
- Adedokun, T. (2014). Policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. African Research Review, 8(1), 205-220. https://doi.org/10.4314/afrrev.v8i1.16
- Adeyemo, D. O., & Asaolu, T. O. (2020). Nigeria's democratic governance: Issues, challenges and prospects. In G. O. Akinola & A. O. Ayeni (Eds.), Nigeria at 60: History, governance and development (pp. 347-370). Ibadan: Samfad Publishers.
- Akinboye, S. O. (2016). Public policy making and implementation in Nigeria: A historical perspective. International Journal of Public Administration and Management Research, 4(1), 1-10.
- Alao, R. A. (2020). The Role of Policy Analysis in the Nigerian Public Policy Process. Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law, 14, 91-102.
- Alao, T. (2020). Evidence-Based Policy Making in Nigeria: An Overview. African Journal of Public Affairs, 12(1), 20-35.
- Anderson, J. E. (2019). Public Policy Making (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Atakpa, M., & Ayogu, G. (2022). Public policy and challenges of implementation in Nigeria. *Veritas International Journal of Management Sciences*, 2(1), 43-60.
- Behn, R. D. (2014). The performance stat revolution: Understanding the future of public service. Brookings Institution Press.
- Bemelmans-Videc, M. L., Rist, R. C., & Vedung, E. O. (2018). Carrots, sticks, and sermons: Policy instruments and their evaluation. Transaction Publishers.
- Birkland, T. A. (2019). An Introduction to the Policy Process (4th ed.). Routledge.

- Boardman, A. E., Greenberg, D. H., Vining, A. R., & Weimer, D. L. (2018). Cost-benefit analysis: Concepts and practice. Cambridge University Press.
- Bovens, M. (2007). Analysing and assessing public accountability: A conceptual framework. European Journal of Political Research, 46(6), 749-771.
- Brown, M. A., & Green, J. A. (2017). Stakeholder engagement in public policy: Definition, challenges, and opportunities for health policy. Health Policy and Planning, 32(5), 673-682.
- Bryson, J. M. (2018). Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations: A guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement. John Wiley & Sons.
- Chen, H. T. (2005). Practical program evaluation: Assessing and improving planning, implementation, and effectiveness. Sage.
- Federal Ministry of Education. (2004). National Policy on Education. Government of Nigeria. https://www.education.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/National-Policy-on-Education-2004-Revised.pdf
- Federal Ministry of Health. (2016). National Health Policy. Government of Nigeria. https://www.health.gov.ng/doc/National-Health-Policy-2016.pdf
- Federal Ministry of Budget and National Planning. (2017). Economic Recovery and Growth Plan. Government of Nigeria.

 https://www.nationalplanning.gov.ng/images/docs/ERGP/Economic Recovery and Growth Plan Vol 1.pdf
- Federal Ministry of Environment. (2009). National Policy on Environment. Government of Nigeria. https://www.environment.gov.ng/images/policies/National-Policy-on-Environment.pdf
- Hill, M., & Hupe, P. (2019). Implementing public policy: An introduction to the study of operational governance. Sage Publications.
- Howlett, M., Ramesh, M., & Perl, A. (2020). Studying public policy: Policy cycles and policy subsystems. Oxford University Press.
- Howlett, M., & Ramesh, M. (2017). Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Johnson, E., Smith, K., & Williams, R. (2020). Understanding the role of politics in policy development and implementation. Public Administration Review, 80(1), 26-36.
- National Security Adviser. (2019). National Security Policy. Government of Nigeria. https://www.nsa.gov.ng/publication/national-security-policy-2019/
- OECD. (2015). The Role of Evaluation in Managing Complexity. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/development/evaluation/The-role-of-evaluation-in-managing-complexity.pdf
- Okeke, C. E. (2018). An overview of public policy-making process in Nigeria. Journal of Public Administration and Governance, 8(4), 29-39. https://doi.org/10.5296/jpag.v8i4.13679
- Okereke, C. (2019). The Nigerian Policy Process: Analysis, Structure and Governance. Journal of African Studies and Development, 11(3), 34-48.
- Okereke, C. (2019). Institutional Framework for Public Policy Making in Nigeria: A Comparative Analysis. Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 11(1), 6-18.
- Omodia, S., & Aluko, O. (2018). The Nigerian Policy Process and Institutional Fragmentation: An Overview. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Research, 1(2), 23-35.
- Omodia, S. E., & Aluko, O. T. (2018). Public Policy Implementation in Nigeria: An Evaluation of the Roles of Bureaucracy and Political Leadership. Journal of Public Administration and Governance, 8(1), 87-98.
- Oyedele, T. A. (2017). Policy making in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. European Scientific Journal, 13(34), 68-84. https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n34p68

Pillah, Tyodzer Patrick, 2023, 1(2):39-47

- Oyedele, T. A., & Osunmuyiwa, O. O. (2021). Evidence-based policy making in Nigeria: Prospects and challenges. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 11(1), 1019-1031. https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v11-i1/8432
- Oyedele, T. A., & Oluwafemi, O. O. (2018). Policy analysis, research and development in Nigeria: The role of the National Institute for Policy and Strategic Studies. Journal of Social and Political Sciences, 1(2), 109-118. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sps.20180102.17
- Peters, B. G. (2017). The Politics of Bureaucracy (7th ed.). Routledge.
- Smith, J. R., & Jones, K. (2015). Public policy analysis: A practical approach. Routledge.
- United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.
 - $https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1\&Lang=E$
- United Nations. (2020). The Millennium Development Goals Report 2015. https://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/2015_MDG_Report/pdf/MDG%202015%20rev%20(July%201).pdf
- Yusuf, M. A., & Aminu, A. M. (2021). Towards Effective Public Policy Management in Nigeria: An Analysis of the Challenges and Prospects. Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 13(1), 33-43.